BERGEN **14-10-2025**

CITIZEN OF THE REPUBLIC OF POLAND
KRZYSZTOF IRENEUSZ PAWLAK
MARIACKA 11 APARTMENT 7
40-014 KATOWICE, POLAND
EMAIL@EUGENIX.ORG
+48 519-521-520

PETITION TO THE EU PARLIAMENT FOR A SEMI-DIRECT DIGITAL DEMOCRACY MECHANISM

SUMMARY OF THE PROPOSAL

We petition the European Parliament to adopt a new semi-direct democratic mechanism that preserves representative institutions while enabling European Union voters, through secure digital means, to override or modify their elected representative's recorded vote on any individual legislative item prior to final legislative certification. This mechanism distributes each representative's parliamentary vote among the representative constituents who would be allowed to withdraw their trust from their own elected European Union Parliament member reducing elected official EU parliamentary vote weight by the number of European Union citizens that done within a limited, defined period after the parliamentary roll-call.

Elected parliamentarian that has one parliamentary seat that is supported by for example 500,000 constituents votes each day of the week on legislatures according to his likeness, and if any of the 500,000 of his/her constituents disagree with own parliamentarian voting decisions will have time to pull its support making the weight of own parliamentarian seat less than 500,000 that in the process of weekly counting of all parliamentarian's constituents changes can result in change of final count from what was voted by the parliamentarians originally.

RATIONALE AND SUPPORTING DESCRIPTION

- **Problem statement**: Representative democracy often produces voter disappointment when elected officials vote against the preferences of those who elected them. Voters lack an efficient, safe way to correct or register disagreement on individual legislative decisions after a representative's vote is cast.
- **Proposed user experience**: After a parliamentary vote, each constituent may log into a secure voter portal, view how their chosen representative voted, and, if desired, change the fraction of that representative's vote (the constituent's delegated share) to a different option before a preset deadline in the same legislative cycle. Each representative's vote becomes the aggregate of their constituent's preferences at the end of that override window.
- **Technical access channels**: Voting may be allowed through a secure digital portal and by telephone from registered numbers authenticated by national identity (national ID number, trusted profile or equivalent secure code), with options to view scanned or delivered ballots and receive telephonic summaries of cast votes.

CONCRETE LEGAL DESIGN ELEMENTS

1. **Delegated-vote fraction model**
   a. Each seat's parliamentary vote equals the weighted sum of ballots of registered electors who delegated to that seat's representative.
   b. Representatives retain roles for debate, drafting, amendment and initial roll-call; final vote tallies incorporate constituent's overrides within the override period.
2. **Override window**
   a. A legally fixed time window opens immediately after the official roll-call and closes within a short statutory period (for example, end of the voting week) at which point the European Union citizens can log in to the system to pull-out its support for any item voted by their parliamentary representative until the end of set period of time.
3. **Authentication and integrity**
   a. Access requires multi-factor authentication linked to national identity systems (ID number, trusted digital identity or equivalent), mandatory phone-number registration for tele-voting, and end-to-end cryptographic protections.
   b. Audit logs, public-verifiable receipts and independent third-party audits guarantee vote integrity, non-repudiation and transparency.

4. **Thresholds and quorum rules**
   a. A representative's vote is always automatically recalculated when at least one European Union Citizen exercise override rights to change the seat's vote.
   b. Existing rules on quorums, supermajorities and constitutional safeguards remain binding; this mechanism cannot be used to bypass constitutionally protected procedures.
5. **Safeguards against abuse**
   a. Rate limits, cooling-off periods, unequivocal identity proofs, mandatory privacy protections, and strict prohibitions on vote-selling or automated vote-brokering.
   b. Criminal and administrative penalties for manipulation, coercion, data breaches, or vote-forcing schemes.

IMPLEMENTATION ROADMAP

1. **Pilot phase**
   - Launch multi-state pilots in a representative set of Member States with diverse digital ID maturity to evaluate security, turnout effects and administrative burden.
2. **Technical standards**
   - EU-level technical and security standards for interoperable portals, authentication, end-to-end verifiability and auditability.
3. **Legal harmonization**
   - Amend relevant EU secondary legislation to permit constituent's overrides and to define limits where Member State constitutions require specific safeguards.
4. **Independent oversight**
   - Create an independent European Digital Voting Oversight Board for certification, audit, and incident response.
5. **Public education**
   - Fund civic education programs, accessible support and multilingual help lines to ensure equal access and informed participation.

SPECIFIC REQUESTS TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

1. Introduce a legislative initiative to establish a framework regulation enabling the semi-direct override mechanism described above and authorize targeted pilot programs across Member States.
2. Mandate technical, legal and privacy standards for secure implementation and require independent audits and public reporting on pilot outcomes.
3. Commission an impact assessment covering constitutional compatibility, cybersecurity risks, social equity of access, and effects on representative accountability.
4. Provide funding and guidance for Member States to integrate secure national identity systems and to run multilingual voter education campaigns.

CLOSING STATEMENT

Adopting this semi-direct digital democracy mechanism modernizes representation by combining deliberative lawmaking with direct voter control over specific legislative outcomes, strengthens accountability, and harnesses secure digital tools to restore trust in democratic institutions with regards to European Union citizens that hope their rights and liberties will be safeguarded as their unique personal and group values, believes, religions and ethnicities.

K Pawlak

D.O.M. of the Piast & Wase
Founder and Board President of
Eugenix ® Simple Stock Corporation
Tribal and Indigenous Ethnic Minority of
Arcticus Blancus (Latin), Blanków (Polish).

Arctic Men Extinction Noticed.
Arctic Magnetic Earth Naturalist.
Antarctic Mass Excavation Nonetheless.
Anthropology Morphology Etymology Naturology.